



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SN

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,788	01/03/2002	Lee A. Roadman	JHM962	8546
7590	12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Joseph H. McGlynn 6111 Saddle Horn Dr. Fairfax, VA 22030			RICHMAN, GLENN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/033,788	ROADMAN, LEE A.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Glenn Richman	3764	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2004.
2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 4-8, 10-12 and 14-22 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 4, 5, 10-12, 14-16 and 18-22 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 6-8 and 17 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 9/9/04, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20, 22, 4, 5, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grunfeld et al in view of Moore.

Grunfeld et al disclose an exercising device (1), an accessory (20), means for mounting the accessory to said exercising device (fig. 1), said accessory having a computer device with a keyboard for inputting instructions to the computer device (20), said computer device being connected to the Internet (col. 6, lines 31-42), said means for mounting the accessory having a platform (25), said platform having a bottom (fig. 1).

Grunfeld et al disclose a clamp (claim 1) and not a brackets attached to said exercising device for mounting said brackets to said of said platform by bracket holders.

Moore discloses brackets for universal mounting of a computer (abstract).

It would have been obvious to use Moore's bracket in lieu of Grunfeld et al's clamp, as it is well known, as taught by Moore, to use a bracket in any type of mounting of a computer.

Moore further discloses means for adjusting said brackets relative to the exercising device in two different directions (col. 1, lines 44-46).

As for claim 22, as Grunfeld et al further disclose means for mounting a game device on said exercising device (25), said game device having a computer device (20), said means for mounting the game device comprising a platform (25), a computer keyboard connected to the computer device and connected to the Internet (col. 6, lines 16-42), said computer keyboard being used for inputting instructions to the computer to operate said game device (col. 6, lines 16-42), a treadmill (fig. 1).

As for claim 4 Moore does not detail four spaced bracket holders fixed to said platform, however it would have been an obvious design choice to use four spaced bracket holders, given no unexpected results, and given the brackets of Moore.

As for claim 5 Moore discloses each of said brackets have a horizontally disposed section and a vertically disposed section, said bracket holders being mounted to said horizontally disposed section of said bracket, and each of said bracket holders being fixed to said bottom of said platform (fig. 2).

Claims 10, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grunfeld et al in view of Baus et al.

Grunfeld et al do not disclose said support is attached to said exercise equipment by means of supporting rods and brackets.

Baus et al further disclose said support is attached to said exercise equipment by means of supporting rods and brackets (23, 81).

It would have been obvious to use Baus et al's supporting rods and brackets with Grunfeld et al's, as it is well known to use supporting rods and brackets for the mounting of a computer support.

Baus et al further disclose thumbscrews are used with said supporting rods and brackets to adjust the position of said rods with respect to said brackets (col. 5, lines 60 – et seq.)

As for claim 11, Grunfeld et al further disclose wherein said support is manufactured with said exercise equipment (fig.1)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grunfeld et al.

Grunfeld et al disclose a support mounted on exercising equipment (25), a personal computer (20, a keyboard (20), said personal computer being connected to the

Internet (col. 6, lines 16-42), whereby a user can simultaneously operate the personal computer and access the Internet while exercising (fig. 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-8, 10-12, 14-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 703 308-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Glenn Richman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3764